

Your Ref.:

檔案號碼:

File No .:

來函請敘明本局檔案號碼

税務局 香港灣仔告士打道 5 號 税務大樓

INLAND REVENUE DEPARTMENT

REVENUE TOWER. 5 GLOUCESTER ROAD, WAN CHAI, HONG KONG.

網址 Web site: www.ird.gov.hk

來函請寄「香港郵政總局郵箱 132 號税務局局長收」 ALL CORRESPONDENCE SHOULD BE ADDRESSED TO:-COMMISSIONER OF INLAND REVENUE,

G.P.O. BOX 132, HONG KONG.

電 話: Tel. No.:

2594 5037

傳 真:

2511 7414

Fax No.: 電 郵:

E-mail:

sw_chan@ird.gov.hk

發出日期:

Date of Issue:

9 December 2015

Dear Ms Ng,

C/FRSC

IN ANY COMMUNICATION PLEASE QUOTE OUR FILE NO.

Head of Financial Reporting

Certified Public Accountants

Hong Kong Institute of

37/F, Wu Chung House

213 Queen's Road East

Wanchai, Hong Kong

HQ 502/141 Pt. 27

Ms Christina Ng

Draft interpretation on uncertainty over income tax treatments

I refer to your letter dated 28 October 2015 inviting our comments on the draft interpretation issued by the International Financial Reporting Standards Interpretations Committee for uncertainty over income tax treatments ("the Draft Interpretation").

The Draft Interpretation provides guidance on how to account for current and deferred tax assets and liabilities where there is uncertainty over income tax treatments. It requires an entity to evaluate whether a tax authority is likely to accept the uncertain tax treatments adopted or to be adopted in its income tax filing. If so, the tax position recorded in the entity's accounts should be consistent with that in the filing. If not, the entity will have to reflect the effect of uncertainty by using either the most likely amount or the expected value.

On the other hand, the entity has to determine whether each uncertain tax treatment should be considered independently or collectively. It should also reassess its judgement and estimate on the uncertainty if the facts and circumstances change. As for the disclosure and transitional requirements, the Draft Interpretation states that the existing disclosure requirements in International Accounting Standards 1, 12 and 37 are relevant and the entity may apply the guidance without adjusting the comparative information.

We appreciate the objective of the Draft Interpretation which is to address the inconsistency in accounting for uncertain income tax treatments. We also support that the measurement of uncertainty should reflect the entity's best estimate of its tax position, and that the entity has to determine whether each uncertain tax treatment should be considered independently or on a collective basis with a view to providing a better prediction of the resolution of the uncertainty. As we see it, the requirement for

IR 678(1) (5/2012)

reassessing the judgment and estimate on uncertain income tax treatments can provide the financial statements users with more relevant information to assess the entity's tax position. Given the considerable involvement of judgment and estimate, we share the view that it may not be necessary to restate the comparative information when first applying the guidance in the Draft Interpretation.

We are grateful for the opportunity to provide our comments on the Draft Interpretation.

Yours sincerely,

(CHAN Sze-wai, Benjamin)

for Commissioner of Inland Revenue